Open Problems

Electronic Scottish Café

Problem #1 Paul Erdős Geometry

Anning and I (Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 1945) proved that if X_1, X_2, \ldots is an infinite set of points in the plane and all distances $d(X_1, X_2)$ are integers, then the points are on a line. When I told this theorem to Stan he asked: Let $X_1, X_2 \ldots$ be a dense set in the plane. Prove that not all the distances $d(X_1, X_2)$ can be rational. This is probably difficult and is still open. Perhaps, in fact, there is a dense subset where all distances between pairs of points of the subset are irrational.

Problem #2 Paul Erdős

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be *n* points in the plane. Assume that if two distances $d(X_1, X_j)$ differ, they differ by at least one. Is it then true that the diameter $D(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$ is > cn? And perhaps for $n > n_0$ $D(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \ge n-1$. n-1 is of course best possible (if true) if the points are $0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. In three dimensional space

$$D(X_1,\ldots,X_n) > cn$$

is certainly not true. Perhaps $D(X_1, \ldots, X_n) > cn^{2/3}$ holds.

Assume next that all the distances $d(X_1, X_j)$ are different and

$$(d(X_i, X_j) \cdot d(X_k, X_\ell)) \ge 1$$

i.e., two distances differ by at least one. Then of course the diameter $D(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is $\geq \binom{n}{2}$. I think that perhaps in any dimension

$$D(X_1, ..., X_n) > (1 + \sigma(1))n^2$$

I can only prove this if the points are on a line, i.e., in dimension one.

Problem #3 Paul Erdős Number Theory

Let P(n) be the greatest prime factor of n. Is it true that the density of integers with P(n) > P(n+1) is $\frac{1}{2}$? The answer is no doubt affirmative, but this seems very hard. An old result of mine states that the density of integers n for which d(n+1) > d(n) is $\frac{1}{2}$, where d(n) is the number of divisors of n.

Problem #4 Paul Erdős

Is it true that for every k you can find k consecutive integers satisfying $P(n) < P(n+1) < \cdots < P(n+k-1)$? Again, the answer surely is yes, but I cannot prove it. I offer \$100.00 for a proof. It is easy to prove that there are k consecutive integers for which $d(n) < d(n+1) < \cdots < d(n+k-1)$.

Problem #5 Paul Erdős

Old problem of mine: Is it true that if $a_1 < a_2 < \ldots$ is an infinite sequence of integers for which

$$\sum \frac{1}{a_i} = \infty \tag{1}$$

then for early k the a's contain an arithmetic progression of k terms? (\$3,000.00 for a proof or disproof.) If true, then for every k there are k primes which form an arithmetic progression. It is not even known that (1) implies that there are three a's in an arithmetic progression, i.e., that $a_1 + a_j = 2a_k$ is solvable.

Problem #6 Peter D. Lax Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York University

Show or disprove that, if S is a compact set in a locally convex topological space, then its closed convex hull is compact.

Please note: This copyright notice has been revised and varies slightly from the original statement. This publication and its contents are ©copyright Ulam Quarterly. Permission is hereby granted to individuals to freely make copies of the Journal and its contents for noncommercial use only, within the fair use provisions of the USA copyright law. For any use beyond this, please contact Dr. Piotr Blass, Editor-in-Chief of the Ulam Quarterly. This notification must accompany all distribution Ulam Quarterly as well as any portion of its contents.