

# On the Polynomial Representation of Certain Recurrences

**Piero Filippini**

Fondazione Ugo Bordoni  
Via B. Castiglione 59  
I-00142 Rome, Italy

### Abstract

Any polynomial  $P_r(n)$  of degree  $r$  in the integral indeterminate  $n$  is given by the  $n$ -th element  $T_n$  of the sequence  $\{T_n\}$  obeying the homogeneous linear recurrence  $T_n = \binom{R}{1}T_{n-1} - \binom{R}{2}T_{n-2} + \cdots + (-1)^{R-1}\binom{R}{R}T_{n-R}$  ( $n \geq R$ ) of order  $R \geq r + 1$ . Once the polynomial is given, the initial conditions of the recurrence are easily found to be  $T_i = P_r(i)$  ( $0 \leq i \leq R - 1$ ). In this note we face the inverse problem, namely, for given initial conditions  $T_i$ , we find explicit expressions for the coefficients  $c_i$  of the polynomial  $T_n = P_r(n) = c_r n^r + c_{r-1} n^{r-1} + \cdots + c_1 n + c_0$  of degree  $r = R - 1$  which is associated to the above recurrence. Simplified expressions of  $c_i$  are given for particular values of  $i$  and closed-form expressions of these coefficients are established for the first few values of  $r$ . Finally, some combinatorial expressions are shown which emerge from certain special cases of the obtained results.

### Acknowledgment

This work has been carried out in the framework of an agreement between the Italian PT Administration and the Fondazione Ugo Bordoni.

### 1. Introduction

My wife is a housewife having a bent for mathematics. She sometimes amuses herself by posing and solving geometrical problems. Some months ago, she decided to determine the number  $d_n$  of diagonals of a polygon with  $n$  sides and found, empirically, the following expressions

$$d_n = n(n - 3)/2, \tag{1.1}$$

$$d_n = d_{n-1} + n - 2, [d_3 = 0], \tag{1.2}$$

$$d_n = 3d_{n-1} - 3d_{n-2} + d_{n-3}, [d_3 = 0, d_4 = 2, d_5 = 5]. \tag{1.3}$$

She asked me how it is possible that three distinct relations yield the same (correct) result. I could not give an immediate answer. As a matter of fact, the general answer to this kind of question led me to write the survey paper [2] and this note.

It can be readily proved (e.g., see [2]) that any polynomial  $P_r(n)$  of degree  $r$  in the integral indeterminate  $n$  is given by the  $n$ -th element  $T_n$  of the sequence obeying the *homogeneous* linear recurrence of order  $R \geq r + 1$

$$T_n = \sum_{j=1}^R (-1)^{j-1} \binom{R}{j} T_{n-j} \quad (n \geq R). \quad (1.4)$$

In fact, the characteristic equation associated to (1.4)

$$(1 - z)^R = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

has the root 1 with multiplicity  $R$  so that the solution of (1.4) has the form

$$T_n = \sum_{k=0}^{R-1} A_k n^k, \quad (1.6)$$

where the coefficients  $A_k$  are to be determined on the basis of the  $R$  initial conditions  $T_i (0 \leq i \leq R - 1)$  of (1.4). Obviously, we have

$$T_i = P_r(i) \quad (0 \leq i \leq R - 1). \quad (1.7)$$

It must be noted that the polynomial  $P_r(n)$  can also be given by the element  $Q_n$  of a sequence obeying a linear recurrence of order  $S < R + 1$ . In this case, the recurrence relation is *not* homogeneous [2] and has the form

$$Q_n = \sum_{j=1}^S (-1)^{j-1} \binom{S}{j} Q_{n-j} + f(n) \quad (n \geq S), \quad (1.8)$$

where  $f(n)$  is a polynomial in  $n$  of degree less than or equal to  $r$  (cf. (1.2)). As an example, let us consider the polynomial

$$P_3(n) = 2n^3 + 5n^2 - 3n + 4. \quad (1.9)$$

Some possible recurrences for (1.9) are

$$T_n = \sum_{j=1}^5 (-1)^{j-1} \binom{5}{j} T_{n-j} \quad (n \geq 5) \quad (1.10)$$

with initial conditions  $T_0 = 4, T_1 = 8, T_2 = 34, T_3 = 94, T_4 = 200$ ,

$$T_n = \sum_{j=1}^4 (-1)^{j-1} \binom{4}{j} T_{n-j} \quad (n \geq 4) \quad (1.11)$$

with initial conditions  $T_0 = 4, T_1 = 8, T_2 = 34, T_3 = 94,$

$$T_n = \sum_{j=1}^3 (-1)^{j-1} \binom{3}{j} T_{n-j} + 12 \quad (n \geq 3) \tag{1.12}$$

with initial conditions  $T_0 = 4, T_1 = 8, T_2 = 34,$  and

$$T_n = \sum_{j=1}^2 (-1)^{j-1} \binom{2}{j} T_{n-j} + 12n - 2 \quad (n \geq 2) \tag{1.13}$$

with initial conditions  $T_0 = 4, T_1 = 8.$

Observe that, in the above examples, the minimum admissible value of  $R$  for the recurrence to be homogeneous is  $R = r + 1 = 4$  (see (1.11)).

As said before, if  $P_r(n)$  is given, the initial conditions of (1.4) are given by (1.7). In this note we face the inverse problem: once the recurrence of (1.4) and the initial conditions  $T_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq R - 1$ ) are given, find explicit expressions for the coefficients  $c_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq R - 1$ ) of the polynomial

$$T_n = P_r(n) = c_r n^r + c_{r-1} n^{r-1} + \dots + c_1 n + c_0 \tag{1.14}$$

of degree  $r = R - 1$ , associated to (1.4), in terms of the initial conditions  $T_i$  (Section 2). Observe that, depending on the choice of  $T_i$ , some of the  $c_i$  may vanish so that the real degree of the polynomial may be less than  $r$  (see Section 5.1). In Section 3 simplified expressions for  $c_i$  are established for particular values of  $i$  whereas closed-form expressions for them are given in Section 4, for the first few values of  $r$ . Finally, in Section 5, some polynomials of degree less than  $r$  are shown which emerge from particular choices of  $T_i$ . Moreover, it is shown how some combinatorial identities can be found by using the results established in the previous sections.

## 2. Determination of the Coefficients $c_i$

From (1.14) we immediately obtain

$$c_0 = T_0. \tag{2.1}$$

Furthermore, for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , we can write the system of  $r$  equations in the  $r$  unknowns  $c_i$

$$\begin{cases} c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_r = T_1 - T_0 \\ 2c_1 + 2^2 c_2 + \dots + 2^r c_r = T_2 - T_0 \\ \vdots \\ rc_1 + r^2 c_2 + \dots + r^r c_r = T_r - T_0 \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

that is

$$\begin{cases} c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_r = T_1 - T_0 \\ c_1 + 2c_2 + \cdots + 2^{r-1}c_r = (T_2 - T_0)/2 \\ \vdots \\ c_1 + rc_2 + \cdots + r^{r-1}c_r = (T_r - T_0)/r \end{cases}. \quad (2.3)$$

In matrix form, we require the solution  $\mathbf{c}$  of the equation

$$\mathbf{M} \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{t}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\mathbf{M}$  is the particular  $r$ -by- $r$  *Vandermonde matrix*

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2^2 & \cdots & 2^{r-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & r & r^2 & \cdots & r^{r-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_r \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{t} = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 - T_0 \\ (T_2 - T_0)/2 \\ \vdots \\ (T_r - T_0)/r \end{bmatrix}.$$

The solution of (2.3) is clearly

$$\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{t}. \quad (2.7)$$

Let  $\mathbf{M}^{-1} = [\nu_{ij}(r)]$ . The entries  $\nu_{ij}(r)$  can be obtained by particularizing to  $\mathbf{M}$  the explicit expression for the entries of the inverse of a generic Vandermonde matrix [4, pp. 27-28]. Namely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{ij}(r) &= j \frac{(-1)^{r-i} \sigma_{r-i}^{(j)}(r)}{\prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^r (j-k)} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j} \sigma_{r-i}^{(j)}(r)}{(j-1)!(r-j)!} \\ &= (-1)^{i+j} \frac{j}{r!} \binom{r}{j} \sigma_{r-i}^{(j)}(r), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where the combinatorial entity  $\sigma_m^{(j)}(r)$  denotes the sum of all products of  $m$  of the integers  $\{1, 2, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, r-1, r\}$  without permutations or repetitions. For example, we have  $\sigma_3^{(4)}(6) = (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3) + (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 5) + (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 6) +$

$(1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5) + (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 6) + (1 \cdot 5 \cdot 6) + (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5) + (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 6) + (2 \cdot 5 \cdot 6) + (3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6) = 307$ .  
 Special cases for  $\sigma_m^{(j)}(r)$  are

$$\sigma_0^{(j)}(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\sigma_1^{(j)}(r) = \frac{r^2 + r}{2} - j = \binom{r+1}{2} - j \quad (r \geq 2), \tag{2.10}$$

$$\sigma_2^{(j)}(r) = \binom{r}{2} \frac{3r^2 + 5r + 2}{12} - j \left[ \binom{r+1}{2} - j \right] \quad (r \geq 3), \tag{2.11}$$

$$\sigma_{r-1}^{(j)}(r) = r!/j \quad (r \geq 1). \tag{2.12}$$

The proofs of (2.10) and (2.12) are immediate, whereas the proof of (2.11) is rather tedious and is omitted for brevity. Let us observe *en passant* that, on the basis of [6, p. 98], the quantity  $\sigma_m^{(j)}(r)$  can be expressed in terms of Stirling numbers of the first kind  $S_k^{(i)}$ . Namely, we have

$$\sigma_{r-i}^{(j)} = (r-j)! \sum_{k=i}^r (-1)^{k+i} \frac{S_k^{(i)}}{(k-j)!}. \tag{2.13}$$

It has to be noted that all the addends in the sum (2.13) are positive and this expression holds for all  $j$  provided the convention  $1/(-|k|)! = 0$  (see the definition of the  $\Gamma$  function) is assumed. For  $i = r$ , (2.13) reduces to

$$\sigma_0^{(j)}(r) = (r-j)!(-1)^{2r} S_r^{(r)} / (r-j)! = 1, \tag{2.14}$$

which is consistent with the definition (2.9).

Getting back to the point, from (2.7) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} c_i &= \nu_{i1}(r)(T_1 - T_0) + \nu_{i2}(r) \frac{T_2 - T_0}{2} + \dots + \nu_{ir}(r) \frac{T_r - T_0}{r} \\ &= -T_0 \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{\nu_{ij}(r)}{j} + \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{\nu_{ij}(r)}{j} T_j. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Finally, from (2.15) and (2.8), after some simple manipulations we get the following expression valid for  $1 \leq i \leq r$

$$\begin{aligned} c_i &= \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{r!} \left[ T_0 \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \sigma_{r-i}^{(j)}(r) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \sigma_{r-i}^{(j)}(r) T_j \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

The formulae (2.1) and (2.16) give the solution of the problem.

### 3. The Coefficients $c_i$ for Particular Values of $i$

For particular values of  $i$ , the expression (2.16) simplifies remarkably. Let us examine some cases.

*The coefficient  $c_1$  ( $r \geq 1$ )*

Letting  $i = 1$  in (2.16) and using (2.12) yield

$$c_1 = T_0 \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \frac{1}{j} - \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \frac{T_j}{j}. \quad (3.1)$$

Denoting the  $r$ -th *harmonic number* [5, p. 73] by  $H_r$ ,

$$H_r = \sum_{i=1}^r 1/i, \quad (3.2)$$

and using the noteworthy identity available in [7, Ex. 3, pp. 4-5], the expression (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$c_1 = -H_r T_0 - \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \frac{T_j}{j}. \quad (3.3)$$

*The coefficient  $c_r$  ( $r \geq 1$ )*

Letting  $i = r$  in (2.16) and using (2.9) yield

$$c_r = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r!} \left[ T_0 \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} - \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} T_j \right]. \quad (3.4)$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} = -1 \quad (r \geq 1), \quad (3.5)$$

the expression (3.4) can be rewritten as

$$c_r = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r!} \left[ -T_0 - \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} T_j \right] = \frac{(-1)^r}{r!} \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} T_j. \quad (3.6)$$

*The coefficient  $c_{r-1}$  ( $r \geq 2$ )*

Letting  $i = r - 1$  in (2.16) and using (2.10) yield

$$c_{r-1} = \frac{(-1)^r}{r!} \left\{ T_0 \binom{r+1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} - T_0 \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} j - \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \left[ \binom{r+1}{2} - j \right] T_j \right\}. \quad (3.7)$$

Now let us observe that

$$\sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} j^k = 0 \quad \text{if } r > k > 0. \quad (3.8)$$

The proof of (3.8) can be carried out by taking the successive derivatives (with respect to  $x$ ) of both  $(1-x)^r$  and the corresponding binomial coefficient expansion, setting  $x = 1$  and using induction on  $k$ . On the other hand, (3.8) results from the definition and the closed-form expression of the Stirling numbers of the second kind (e.g., see [1, p. 824]).

Replacing (3.5) and (3.8) (with  $k = 1$ ) in (3.7) yields

$$c_{r-1} = \frac{(-1)^r}{r!} \left\{ -\binom{r+1}{2} T_0 - \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \left[ \binom{r+1}{2} - j \right] T_j \right\}. \quad (3.9)$$

*The coefficient  $c_{r-2}$  ( $r \geq 3$ )*

From (2.16) and (2.11), by using (3.5) and (3.8) (with  $k = 1$  and 2) we get, after some simple manipulations

$$c_{r-2} = \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{r!} \left\{ -\binom{r}{2} N_r T_0 - \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \left[ \binom{r}{2} N_r - j \binom{r+1}{2} + j^2 \right] T_j \right\}, \quad (3.10)$$

where (see (2.11))  $N_r = (3r^2 + 5r + 2)/12$ .

#### 4. The Coefficients $c_i$ for the First Few Values of $r$

As an illustration, we give the expressions of the coefficients  $c_i$  in terms of the initial conditions  $T_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq r$ ) for  $0 \leq r \leq 5$ . These expressions can be derived from (2.1) and (2.16), after a good deal of calculation.

(i)  $r = 0$

$$c_0 = T_0.$$

(ii)  $r = 1$

$$c_0 = T_0, \quad c_1 = -T_0 + T_1.$$

(iii)  $r = 2$

$$c_0 = T_0, \quad c_1 = \frac{-3T_0 + 4T_1 - T_2}{2}, \quad c_2 = \frac{T_0 - 2T_1 + T_2}{2}.$$

(iv)  $r = 3$ 

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= T_0, & c_1 &= \frac{-11T_0 + 18T_1 - 9T_2 + 2T_3}{6}, \\ c_2 &= \frac{2T_0 - 5T_1 + 4T_2 - T_3}{2}, & c_3 &= \frac{-T_0 + 3T_1 - 3T_2 + T_3}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

(v)  $r = 4$ 

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= T_0, & c_1 &= \frac{-25T_0 + 48T_1 - 36T_2 + 16T_3 - 3T_4}{12}, \\ c_2 &= \frac{35T_0 - 104T_1 + 114T_2 - 56T_3 + 11T_4}{24}, \\ c_3 &= \frac{-5T_0 + 18T_1 - 24T_2 + 14T_3 - 3T_4}{12}, & c_4 &= \frac{T_0 - 4T_1 + 6T_2 - 4T_3 + T_4}{24}. \end{aligned}$$

(vi)  $r = 5$ 

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= T_0, & c_1 &= \frac{-137T_0 + 300T_1 - 300T_2 + 200T_3 - 75T_4 + 12T_5}{60}, \\ c_2 &= \frac{45T_0 - 154T_1 + 214T_2 - 156T_3 + 61T_4 - 10T_5}{24}, \\ c_3 &= \frac{-17T_0 + 71T_1 - 118T_2 + 98T_3 - 41T_4 + 7T_5}{24}, \\ c_4 &= \frac{3T_0 - 14T_1 + 26T_2 - 24T_3 + 11T_4 - 2T_5}{24}, \\ c_5 &= \frac{-T_0 + 5T_1 - 10T_2 + 10T_3 - 5T_4 + T_5}{120}. \end{aligned}$$

The above expressions can be readily checked on against (3.3), (3.6), (3.9) and (3.10), under the appropriate restrictions on  $r$ .

### Remark

Even though we restrict the choice of the initial conditions  $T_i$  to integers, the coefficients  $c_i$  are not, in general, integers. The integrality of *all* the  $c_i$  can emerge from particular integral values of  $T_i$ . From (i)–(vi) it can be readily seen that, for  $r = 0$  and 1, all the  $c_i$  are integers, for  $r = 2$ , all the  $c_i$  are integers iff  $T_0$  and  $T_2$  have the same parity whereas, for  $r = 3$ , all the  $c_i$  are integers iff  $T_0 \equiv T_3 \pmod{3}$ ,  $T_1 \equiv T_3 \pmod{2}$ , and  $T_2 \equiv T_0 \pmod{2}$ . For example, letting  $T_0 = 11$ ,  $T_1 = 10$ ,  $T_2 = 5$  and  $T_3 = 32$  in (iv), we get  $c_0 = 11$ ,  $c_1 = 13$ ,  $c_2 = -20$  and  $c_3 = 6$ .



### 5. Special Cases

Particular choices of the initial conditions  $T_i$  lead to particular polynomials  $P_r(n)$  whereas, with the aid of (1.7), (2.15) and (2.8), particular choices of the coefficients  $c_i$  give rise to some interesting combinatorial identities.

#### 5.1 Particular choices of the initial conditions

(i)  $T_j = (-1)^{r+1}T_{r-j} \quad (0 \leq j \leq r)$

From (3.6), we have  $c_r = 0$  (i.e., the degree of the polynomial is  $r-1$ ). Observe that (i) implies that  $T_{r/2} = 0$  if  $r$  is even.

(ii)  $T_j = j \quad (0 \leq j \leq r)$

From (2.1) we have  $c_0 = 0$  and, from (2.15), we have

$$c_i = \sum_{j=1}^r \nu_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i \leq r). \tag{5.1}$$

Now, observing that  $[\nu_{ij}]\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I}$  by definition ( $\mathbf{I}$  being the  $r$ -by- $r$  identity matrix) and that the first column of  $\mathbf{M}$  is a unit vector, we can write

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \nu_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq r. \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

From (5.1) and (5.2), it is evident that  $P_r(n) = P_1(n) = n$ .

(iii)  $T_j = 1 \quad (0 \leq j \leq r)$

From (2.1), we have  $c_0 = 1$  and, from (2.15),  $c_i = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$ . It follows that  $P_r(n) = P_0(n) = 1$ .

#### 5.2 Particular choices of the coefficients

Let us suppose that the coefficients  $c_i$  of  $P_r(n)$  are given, with  $c_0 = 0$ . From (1.7) we have

$$T_j = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i j^i, \tag{5.3}$$

whence using (2.16) and taking into account that  $T_0 = c_0 = 0$  by hypothesis yield

$$\sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \sigma_{r-i}^{(j)}(r) T_j = (-1)^i c_i r!. \tag{5.4}$$

For particular choices of  $c_i$ , the quantity  $T_j$  given by the sum (5.3) has a rather compact closed-form expression so that (5.4) becomes a compact identity involving the quantities  $\sigma_m^{(j)}(r)$  (or the Stirling numbers of the first kind (see (2.13)). Specializing  $i$  to  $r$  and 1 (see (2.9) and (2.12)) on the

left-hand side of (5.4) leads to some interesting combinatorial identities. We give four examples by letting  $c_i = 1$ ,  $c_i = i$ ,  $c_i = \binom{r}{i}$  and  $c_i = \binom{r-i}{i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) on the right-hand side of (5.4).

(i)  $c_i = 1$

From (5.3) we have

$$\begin{cases} T_1 = r \\ T_j = j(j^r - 1)/(j - 1) \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq r. \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

From (5.4) and (5.5) we can write

$$-r^2 \sigma_{r-i}^{(1)}(r) + \sum_{j=2}^r (-1)^j j \frac{j^r - 1}{j - 1} \binom{r}{j} \sigma_{r-i}^{(j)}(r) = (-1)^i r!. \quad (5.6)$$

Letting  $i = r$  and  $i = 1$  in (5.6), from (2.9) and (2.12), we obtain

$$\sum_{j=2}^r (-1)^j j \frac{(j^r - 1)}{j - 1} \binom{r}{j} = (-1)^r r! + r^2 \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$\sum_{j=2}^r (-1)^j \frac{j^r - 1}{j - 1} \binom{r}{j} = r^2 - 1, \quad (5.8)$$

respectively.

By means of an analogous procedure, we obtained the further results shown in the sequel.

(ii)  $c_i = i$

$$\sum_{j=2}^r (-1)^j j \frac{j^r (jr - r - 1) + 1}{(j - 1)^2} \binom{r}{j} = r \left[ (-1)^r r! + \binom{r+1}{2} \right], \quad (5.9)$$

$$\sum_{j=2}^r (-1)^j \frac{j^r (jr - r - 1) + 1}{(j - 1)^2} \binom{r}{j} = r \binom{r+1}{2} - 1. \quad (5.10)$$

(iii)  $c_i = \binom{r}{i}$

$$\sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j [(j+1)^r - 1] \binom{r}{j} = (-1)^r r!, \quad (5.11)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \frac{(j+1)^r - 1}{j} \binom{r}{j} = -r. \quad (5.12)$$

(iv)  $c_i = \binom{r-i}{i}$  ( $c_i = 0$  if  $i > \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$ )

$$\sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} [G_{r+1}(j) - 1] = 0, \tag{5.13}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \frac{1}{j} \binom{r}{j} [G_{r+1}(j) - 1] = 1 - r, \tag{5.14}$$

where the numbers  $G_n(m)$  can be defined either as (e.g., see [3])

$$G_{n+2}(m) = G_{n+1}(m) + mG_n(m) \quad [G_0(m) = 0, G_1(m) = 1] \tag{5.15}$$

or as (e.g., see [7, p. 75])

$$G_n(m) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} \binom{n-1-k}{k} m^k. \tag{5.16}$$

The combinatorial identities obtainable in this way are by no means exhausted in our brief account above. For example, the following question arises quite naturally for those interested in recurring sequences: “Which couple of identities shall we obtain if we let  $c_i$  be the  $i$ -th Fibonacci number  $F_i$ ?” We leave the answer as an exercise for the interested reader.

### References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, New York: Dover, 1972.
- [2] O. Brugia and P. Filipponi, “*Sequences of Numbers: Something Old, Something New*,” (in Italian) *Note Recensioni Notizie* 40, 3/4 (1991), 57–74.
- [3] P. Filipponi, “*A Note on a Class of Lucas Sequences*,” *Fibonacci Quarterly* 29, 3 (1991), 256–263.
- [4] R. T. Gregory and D. L. Karney, *A collection of Matrices for Testing Computational Algorithms*, New York: Wiley-Interscience, 1972.
- [5] D. E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, Vol. 1, Reading (Mass.): Addison-Wesley, 1973.

- [6] N. Macon and A. Spitzbart, “*Inverses of Vandermonde Matrices,*” Amer. Math. Monthly 65 (1958), 95–100.
- [7] J. Riordan, *Combinatorial Identities*, New York: Wiley, 1968.

Please note: This copyright notice has been revised and varies slightly from the original statement. This publication and its contents are ©copyright Ulam Quarterly. Permission is hereby granted to individuals to freely make copies of the Journal and its contents for noncommercial use only, within the fair use provisions of the USA copyright law. For any use beyond this, please contact Dr. Piotr Blass, Editor-in-Chief of the Ulam Quarterly. This notification must accompany all distribution Ulam Quarterly as well as any portion of its contents.