

Remarks on AC Continuity and the Spectral Representation of Stationary $S\alpha S$ Sequences

A. Makagan

Michigan State University
Department of Statistics and Probability
East Lansing, Michigan

and

V. Mandrekar

Michigan State University
Department of Statistics and Probability
East Lansing, Michigan

§ Introduction

Let \mathcal{Z} , \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{C} denote the set of all integers, real numbers and complex numbers, respectively. For a measure space (\mathcal{S}, μ) , let $L^p(\mathcal{S}, \mu; \mathcal{X})$ denote the space of Bochner measurable p integrable functions with values in a Banach space \mathcal{X} . If $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}$, we will simply write $L^p(\mathcal{S}, \mu)$; also if (\mathcal{S}, μ) are absent then it is assumed that $\mathcal{S} = [0, 2\pi)$ and μ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 2\pi)$. For a function $f \in L^1(\mathcal{X})$, \hat{f} will stand for the Fourier transform of f defined by $\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(s)e^{-isn} ds, n \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Let $\{f_n : n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers. N. Wiener [14] defined the spectrum of $\{f_n\}$ under the condition

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N f_{n+k} \overline{f_n} \right\} \quad (1)$$

exists for each $k \in \mathcal{Z}$. Under the condition (1) (for $k = 0$) it is shown that $s(t) = \sum' \frac{f_n e^{int}}{n}, t \in [0, 2\pi)$ exists, where \sum' denotes the sum with $n = 0$ omitted and the convergence is in L^2 . However $s(t)$ in general is not of bounded variation [14] and hence the meaning of $f_n = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} ds(t)$ seems to be mysterious ([12]). In fact Wiener in his subsequent work did not pursue this point. In [6] the meaning of the above spectral representation is given as a generalized function in the continuous parameter case.

The idea of a generalized spectrum for a sequence $\{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ taking values in a Banach space \mathcal{X} was introduced in [11] as follows

Definition 1 Let $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and S_X be the linear operator from the set \mathcal{P} of trigonometric polynomials defined by

$$S_X(\varphi) = \sum \widehat{\varphi}(k)X(-k), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{P}.$$

We call S_X the (generalized) spectral distribution of X .

Let C and AC be the Banach spaces of complex 2π -periodic functions which are continuous and absolutely continuous on $[0, 2\pi]$ with norms

$$\|f\|_C = \sup_{[0, 2\pi]} |f(t)| \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{AC} = |f(0)| + \text{var}(f)$$

where $\text{var}(f)$ denotes the variation of the function f on $[0, 2\pi]$. It is known that if S_X is continuous with respect to $\|\cdot\|_C$ then $X(n) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} \mu(dt)$, where μ is an \mathcal{X} -valued measure of finite semivariation for \mathcal{X} reflexive. In other words, $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ is harmonizable.

In this work we examine conditions on a sequence $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ so that the Wiener type spectrum exists and we obtain conditions which guarantee the spectral representation of $X(n)$ in terms of Wiener spectrum. We also show that mixed moving average $S\alpha S$ sequences have a continuous Wiener spectrum and have a spectral representation. We use ideas of [2].

Definition 2 Let \mathcal{X} be a reflexive separable Banach space. A sequence $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is said to be AC-continuous if

$$\|S_X(\varphi)\| \leq C\|\varphi\|_{AC}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (2)$$

If X is AC-continuous then the extension of S_X to AC also is denoted by S_X . The following theorem gives the general form of the spectral distribution of an AC-continuous sequence.

Theorem 1 Let $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, a separable reflexive Banach space, be an AC-continuous sequence. Then there exists a bounded measurable function $x : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$S_X(\varphi) = \varphi(0)X(0) - \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t)x(t)dt, \quad \varphi \in AC. \quad (3)$$

We refer to $x(\cdot)$ as a spectral function of X . Moreover:

- (i) $x(\cdot)$ is unique a.e. up to a constant vector,
- (ii) the Fourier coefficients of $x(\cdot)$ are:

$$\widehat{x}(n) = \frac{X(n) - X(0)}{2\pi in}, \quad \text{if } n \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{x}(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} x(t)dt \quad (4)$$

- (iii) if $\psi \in AC$, then $Y(n) = S_X(e^{in} \psi)$ is an AC-continuous sequence and its spectral function $y(\cdot)$ (as defined by (3)) is given by

$$y(t) = \psi(t)x(t) - \int_0^t \psi'(s)x(s)ds + \text{const}. \quad (5)$$

Remark. It is easy to see that a sequence with S_X given by (3) on \mathcal{P} is AC -continuous.

Proof: Since AC is isometric to $\mathcal{C} \oplus L^1$ by the mapping $AC \ni \varphi \rightarrow \varphi(0) \oplus \varphi' \in \mathcal{C} \oplus L^1$, $(AC)^*$ can be identified with $\mathcal{C} \oplus L^\infty$. More precisely, for every $u \in (AC)^*$ there is $a \in \mathcal{C}$ and $f_u \in L^\infty$ such that

$$u(\varphi) = a\varphi(0) + \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t)f_u(t)dt, \quad \varphi \in AC.$$

Therefore for every $b^* \in M(X)^*$, where $M(X) = \overline{\text{sp}}\{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ there is $a_{b^*} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $f_{b^*} \in L^\infty$ such that

$$(S_X^* b^*)(\varphi) = \varphi(0)a_{b^*} + \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t)f_{b^*}(t)dt, \quad \varphi \in AC.$$

The mapping $M(X)^* \ni b^* \rightarrow f_{b^*} \in L^\infty$ is linear and bounded, therefore from the lifting theorem ([10], 48, 52) there is a bounded measurable function $f : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow M(X)^{**} = M(X)$ such that $f_{b^*}(t) = b^*(f(t))$, dt-a.e. for each $b^* \in M(X)^*$. The mapping $M(X)^* \ni b^* \rightarrow a_{b^*}$ is a continuous functional, so it has the form $a_{b^*} = b^*(z)$, for some $z \in M(X)$. Summing up, for all $\varphi \in AC$ and $b^* \in M(X)^*$

$$\begin{aligned} (S_X^* b^*)(\varphi) &= \varphi(0)b^*(z) + \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t)b^*(f(t))dt \\ &= b^*(\varphi(0)z + \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t)f(t)dt) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$S_X(\varphi) = \varphi(0)z + \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t)f(t)dt, \quad \varphi \in AC.$$

Setting $\varphi \equiv 1$ we conclude that $z = S_X(1) = X(0)$. Setting $x(t) = -f(t)$ we obtain (3). In the "moreover" part the properties (i) and (ii) are trivial. To prove (iii) first note that $S_Y(\varphi) = S_X(\varphi\psi)$ and, because AC is a Banach algebra, $\|S_Y(\varphi)\| \leq C\|\psi\|_{AC} = C_1\|\varphi\|_{AC}$. Therefore $\{Y(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ is AC -continuous. Let $y(t) = \psi(t)x(t) - \int_0^t \psi(s)x(s)ds$. Then for every $\varphi \in AC$

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(0)Y(0) - \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t)y(t)dt \\ &= \varphi(0)S_X(\psi) - \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t)\psi(t)x(t)dt + \int \int 1_{[0,t]}(s)\varphi'(t)\psi(s)x(s)dsdt \\ &= \varphi(0)S_X(\psi) - \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t)\psi(t)x(t)dt + \int \psi(s)x(s)(\varphi(2\pi) - \varphi(s))ds \\ &= \varphi(0)S_X(\psi) + \varphi(2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(s)x(s)ds - \int_0^{2\pi} (\psi\varphi)'(t)x(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

$$= \varphi(0)[S_X(\psi) - X(0)\varphi(0) - S_X(\psi)] - \int_0^{2\pi} (\psi\varphi)l(t)x(t)dt$$

Hence $S_X(\varphi\psi) = S_Y(\psi)$. Thus (4) follows then from (i). \square

Remark. An AC-continuous sequences does not have to be norm bounded. Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}$, the complex numbers, and consider $X(n) = S_X(e^{in})$, $n \neq 0$, and $X(0) = 0$, where $S_X(\varphi) = -\int \varphi l(t)x(t)dt$ with

$$x(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu^{-\beta} e^{i\nu^\alpha} e^{i\nu(t+\pi)}$$

$0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$. Then by ([15], vol.1, p. 200) with $1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} < \beta < 1$, we get that $x(t)$ is continuous and by (4) above, for $k \neq 0$, $X(k) = -[2\pi ik(k^{-\beta} \exp(ik^\alpha) \exp(i\pi k))]$. Thus $X = \{X(k) : k \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ is not bounded but is AC-continuous.

In the next theorem we relate a spectral function $x(\cdot)$ of X to the Wiener spectrum $s(\cdot)$. Let $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be a sequence in a separable Banach space \mathcal{X} and N be a nonnegative integer. Then for $t \in [0, 2\pi)$:

$$S_N(X; t) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{X(n)}{n} e^{int}, \quad \Sigma_N(X; t) = \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=1}^N S_k(X; t)$$

As usual, if f is an integrable \mathcal{X} -valued function then

$$s_N(f; t) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \widehat{f}(n) e^{int}, \quad \sigma_N(f; t) = \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^N s_k(f; t)$$

Theorem 2 *Let \mathcal{X} be a separable reflexive Banach space and $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) X is AC-continuous,
- (ii) $\|\Sigma_N(X; t)\| \leq M < \infty$ a.e. for all N .

In either case there exists a bounded function $s(t)$ such that $\Sigma_N(X; t) \rightarrow s(t)$ weakly e.a. Moreover, if $x(\cdot)$ is a spectral function of X then

$$x(t) = \frac{itX(0) + s(t)}{2\pi i} + \text{const. a.e.} \quad (6)$$

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii). By Theorem 1 there is a bounded function x such that $\widehat{x}(n) = \frac{i}{2\pi} (\frac{X(0)}{n} - \frac{X(n)}{n})$, $n \neq 0$. Because $x(\cdot)$ is defined up to a constant, we may assume that $\widehat{x}(0) = 0$. Therefore

$$s_N(x; t) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{e^{int}}{n} X(0) - \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{X(n)}{n} e^{int} \right\}$$

and so $\sigma_N(x; t) = \frac{i}{2\pi} (X(0)\sigma_N(\omega; t) - \Sigma_N(X; t))$, where $\omega(t) = i(\pi - t)$, $0 < t < 2\pi$ and $\omega(0) = 0$. Since $x(\cdot)$ is bounded, $\|\sigma_N(x; t)\| \leq M < \infty$ a.e. for all N and that for every $x^* \in \mathcal{X}^*$, $\langle \sigma_N(x; t), x^* \rangle$ converges a.e. to

$\langle x(t), x^* \rangle$ (Thms III 3.4 and III 3.9 in [15]). Since $\sigma_N(\omega; t)$ converges boundedly to $\omega(t)$ for every t we conclude (ii) and the weak convergence of $\Sigma_N(X; t)$ to $s(t) = X(0)\omega(t) + 2\pi i x(t)$. From the last equation we obtain (6).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Observe that $\Sigma_N(X; t) = S_N(X; t) - \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N \text{sgn}(n)X(n)e^{int}$. Let $\varphi(t) = \sum_{k=-N}^N \widehat{\varphi}(k) \exp ikt$, $t \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then for $n \geq N$

$$S_X(\varphi) = \sum_{k=-n}^n \widehat{\varphi}(k)X(-k) = \widehat{\varphi}(0)X(0) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(t) \sum_{k=-n}^n e^{-ikt} X(-k) dt$$

Integrating by parts we get

$$S_X(\varphi) = \widehat{\varphi}(0)X(0) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t) \left[\sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{e^{ikt} X(k)}{k} \right] dt.$$

The integral on the RHS above equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t) \left[\sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{e^{ikt} X(k)}{k} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n+1} \right) \right] dt + \\ & \frac{1}{n+1} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t) \left[\sum_{k=-n}^n \text{sgn}(k) e^{ikt} X(k) \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that in the second integrand $\widehat{\varphi}(k) = 0$, $|k| > N$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_X(\varphi) &= \widehat{\varphi}(0)X(0) - \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t) \Sigma_n(X, -t) dt \\ &+ \frac{i}{2\pi(n+1)} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t) \left[\sum_{k=-N}^N \text{sgn}(k) e^{-ikt} X(-k) \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|S_X(\varphi)\| = |\widehat{\varphi}(0)|\|X(0)\| + \frac{M}{2\pi} \|\varphi\|_{AC} + \frac{2N}{2\pi(n+1)} \|\varphi\|_{AC} \sup_{|k| < N} \|X(k)\|$. Since $|\widehat{\varphi}(0)| \leq (2\pi)\|\varphi\|_{AC}$ and last term goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\|S_X(\varphi)\| \leq 2\pi(\|X(0)\| + \frac{M}{2\pi})\|\varphi\|_{AC}$. \square

Remark. Note that if X is bounded then from $\Sigma_N(X; t) = S_N(X; t) - \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N \text{sgn}(n)X(n)e^{int}$ it follows that $\sup_N \|\Sigma_N(X; t)\| \leq M < \infty$ a.e. iff $\sup_N \|S_N(X; t)\| \leq M' < \infty$ a.e.

As a corollary from Theorems 1 and 2 we obtain that for a given sequence $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, if $\sup_N \|\Sigma_N(X; t)\| \leq M < \infty$ a.e. (or X is bounded and $\sup_N \|S_N(X; t)\| \leq M' < \infty$ a.e.) then $S_N(X; t)$ is (C,1) summable a.e. to a bounded function $s(t)$ and the sequence X has the representation

$$X(n) = X(0) - \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d}{dt} (e^{-int}) x(t) dt, \quad n \in \mathcal{Z} \quad (7)$$

with $x(t) = \frac{itX(0)+s(t)}{2\pi i} + \text{const}$. If the function $x(\cdot)$ has a cadlag (continuous from right and having limits from left) version, the formula (7) can be

written in terms of an integral w.r.t. x in the sense of [7]. Recall that if $x(t)$ is a function on $[0, 2\pi]$ (both endpoints are included) with values in \mathcal{X} and f is a complex function on $[0, 2\pi]$ with $\text{var}(f) < \infty$, then the integral

$$\int_{[0, 2\pi]}^{\oplus} f(t) dx(t) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \lim_{\Pi} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_k) [x(t_k) - x(t_{k-1})] \right\}$$

exists, where the limit is over the directed set of partitions $\Pi = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = 2\pi\}$ of $[0, 2\pi]$. The following properties of the integral above are proved in [7].

Proposition 1 ([7]). *Suppose that x is cadlag.*

(i) *If f is absolutely continuous then*

$$\int_{[0, 2\pi]}^{\oplus} f(t) dx(t) = f(2\pi)x(2\pi) - f(0)x(0) - \int_0^{2\pi} x(t) df(t)$$

(ii) *If $\{g_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$, is a net of functions with $\sup_\alpha (\text{var}(g_\alpha)) < \infty$, which converges pointwise to g then*

$$\int_{[0, 2\pi]}^{\oplus} g_\alpha(t) dx(t) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \int_{[0, 2\pi]}^{\oplus} g(t) dx(t).$$

Sequences with spectral function admitting a cadlag version are discussed in the next theorem. In the sequel we will say that a function f has the property (F) if for every $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, the limits $f(t+)$ and $f(t-)$ exists and $f(t+) - f(t-) = 2f(t)$, $t \in [0, 2\pi)$, where $0- = 2\pi-$. Note that a function having property (F) is bounded.

Theorem 3 *Let $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be a sequence in a separable reflexive Banach space. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $\|\Sigma_N(X; t)\| \leq M < \infty$ a.e. for all N and $s(t) = *-\lim \Sigma_N(X; t)$ (which exists a.e. by Theorem 2) has the property (F).
- (ii) For all $t \in [0, 2\pi)$, $\Sigma_N(X; t)$ converges (in norm) to a function having the property (F).
- (iii) For all $t \in [0, 2\pi)$, $\Sigma_N(X; t)$ converges weakly to a function having the property (F).
- (iv) There is a bounded cadlag function $x(t)$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that $x(0) = 0$, $x(2\pi) = X(0)$ and

$$X(n) = \int_{[0, 2\pi]}^{\oplus} e^{-int} dx(t), \quad n \in \mathcal{Z}. \quad (8)$$

If, in addition, X is bounded then the conditions (i)-(iv) are equivalent to

(v) For all $t \in [0, 2\pi)$, $S_N(X; t)$ converges to a function having the property (F).

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii). From Theorem 2 it follows that X is AC continuous and $s(t) = X(0)\omega(t) + 2\pi i x(t)$, where $x(\cdot)$ is a spectral function of X with $\hat{x}(0) = 0$. By Theorem 1 (ii), $\hat{s}(n) = \frac{X(n)}{n}$, $n \neq 0$ and $\hat{s}(0) = 0$. Therefore $\sum \frac{X(n)}{n} e^{int}$ is a Fourier series of $s(\cdot)$ and consequently $\Sigma_N(X; t) = \sigma_N(s; t)$. Since s has the property (F), by repeating the arguments used in [15], III 2.21 and 3.4, we conclude (ii).

The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Denote $s(t) = *-\lim \Sigma_N(X; t)$, $t \in [0, 2\pi)$. From [1], (2.1), it follows that for every $x^* \in \mathcal{X}^*$, $\Sigma_N(x^*(X); t) = \sigma_N(x^*(s); t)$. Therefore by the properties of the Fejer kernel for every $N \in \mathcal{Z}$

$$|x^*(\Sigma_N(X; t))| = |\sigma_N(x^*(s); t)| \leq \|x^*\| \sup_u \|s(u)\|$$

Hence $\|\Sigma_N(X; t)\| \leq M < \infty$, $N \in \mathcal{Z}$. By Theorem 2, X is AC continuous and $\tilde{x}(t) = \frac{s(t) - X(0)\omega(t)}{2\pi i}$ is its spectral function, where $\omega(t) = i(\pi - t)$, $0 < t < 2\pi$ and $\omega(0) = 0$. Observe that \tilde{x} has (F) property and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}(t+) - \tilde{x}(t-) &= \frac{s(t+) - s(t-)}{2\pi i}, \quad t \in (0, 2\pi) \\ \tilde{x}(0+) - \tilde{x}(2\pi-) &= \frac{s(0+) - s(2\pi-)}{2\pi i} - X(0) \\ \tilde{x}(0+) &= \frac{s(0+) - i\pi X(0)}{2\pi i} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if for $t \in (0, 2\pi)$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \frac{s(t) - X(0)\omega(t)}{2\pi i} - \frac{s(0+) - i\pi X(0)}{2\pi i} + \frac{s(t+) - s(t-)}{4\pi i} \\ &= \frac{itX(0) + s(t) - s(0)}{2\pi i} + \frac{[s(t+) - s(t-)] - [s(0+) - s(2\pi-)]}{4\pi i}, \end{aligned}$$

and define $x(0) = 0$, $x(2\pi) = X(0)$, then x satisfies the conditions of (iv) Moreover, by Proposition 1 (i)

$$\int_{[0, 2\pi]}^{\oplus} e^{-int} dx(t) = x(2\pi) - x(0) - \int_0^{2\pi} x(t) d(e^{-int}) = X(n), \quad n \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

Observe also that $x(2\pi) - x(2\pi-) = \frac{s(0+) - s(2\pi-)}{2\pi i}$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose (iv). Then, by Proposition 1 (i), for every trigonometric polynomial φ

$$\begin{aligned} S_X(\varphi) &= \sum \hat{\varphi}(k) X(-k) = \int_{[0, 2\pi]}^{\oplus} \varphi(t) dx(t) \\ &= \varphi(0) X(0) - \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t) x(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since x is bounded, $\|S_X(\varphi)\| \leq C\|\varphi\|_{AC}$. Therefore X is AC continuous, and x is a spectral function of X . Since x has a version with property (F), from Theorem 2 and the relation between s and x established there we conclude (i).

Now assume that X is bounded and that (ii) holds. Let $s(t) = \lim \Sigma_N(X; t)$, $t \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then, as we already have noticed, $\Sigma_N(X; t) = \sigma_N(s; t)$, and $S_N(X; t) = s_N(s; t)$. Since $\|\hat{s}(n)\| \leq C/|n|$, $n \neq 0$, from Hardy's tauberian theorem ([15], III, 1.26) we obtain (v). The converse implication is obvious from ([15], III, 1.3). \square

Below there are three examples of sequences which admit the representation (8). In the first we show that for a harmonizable sequence the function $x(t)$ is equal $\mu(0, t]$, as is expected. In the next two we discuss $S\alpha S$ stochastic sequences. We now derive representations from Theorem 3 in specific examples.

Example 1. Harmonizable Sequences

A sequence $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is called harmonizable if there exists an \mathcal{X} -valued measure μ on $[0, 2\pi)$ such that $X(n) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} \mu(dt)$, $n \in \mathcal{Z}$. If X is harmonizable then a simple computation shows that for $t \in (0, 2\pi)$

$$\begin{aligned} s(t) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{X(n)}{n} e^{int} = \lim \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{e^{ik(t-s)}}{n} \mu(ds) \\ &= i \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} (\pi - t + s) 1_{[0, t)}(s) \mu(ds) + \int_0^{2\pi} (s - t - \pi) 1_{(t, 2\pi)}(s) \mu(ds) \right\} \\ &= i \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} s \mu(ds) - t \mu\{t\} - tX(0) + t \mu\{t\} + \pi \mu[0, t) - \pi \mu(t, 2\pi) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

and $s(0) = i \int_0^{2\pi} (s - \pi) 1_{(0, 2\pi)}(s) \mu(ds)$. Therefore s has the property (F) and for $t \in [0, 2\pi)$

(i) $itX(0) + s(t) - s(0) = i\pi\{\mu\{0\} + 2\mu(0, t) + \mu\{t\}\}$,

(ii) $s(t+) - s(t-) = 2\pi i \mu\{t\}$, (here $0- = 2\pi-$).

Hence

$$x(t) = \frac{itX(0) + s(t) - s(0)}{2\pi i} + \frac{[s(t+) - s(t-)] - [s(0+) - s(2\pi-)]}{4\pi i} = \mu(0, t]$$

and $x(2\pi) = \mu[0, 2\pi)$.

Example 2. Mixed Moving Average $S\alpha S$ Sequences

A *stochastic sequence* is a collection $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ of complex random variables. A stochastic sequence X is *stationary* (SP) if for any $N \in \mathcal{N}$ and any $n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathcal{Z}$, the random vectors $\langle X(n_1), \dots, X(n_N) \rangle$ and $\langle X(n_1 + 1), \dots, X(n_N + 1) \rangle$ have the same distributions. A stochastic sequence $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ is *symmetric α stable* ($S\alpha S$), $0 < \alpha < 2$,

if for each $N \in \mathcal{N}$, $\mathbf{n} = \{n_1, \dots, n_N\} \in \mathcal{Z}^N$ and $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N\} \in \mathcal{C}^N$, the random variable $X(\lambda, \mathbf{n}) = \sum_{p=1}^N \lambda_p X(n_p)$ is radially $S\alpha S$, i.e., its characteristic function $\varphi(z) = E \exp[i \operatorname{Re}(\bar{z} X(\lambda, \mathbf{n}))]$, $z \in \mathcal{C}$, has the form $\varphi(z) = \exp(-c(\lambda, \mathbf{n})|z|^\alpha)$, $z \in \mathcal{C}$, where $c(\lambda, \mathbf{n})$ is a nonnegative constant. If $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ is an $S\alpha S$ sequence with $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ then in the space $\mathcal{M}(X)$ of linear combinations of $X(n)$, $n \in \mathcal{Z}$, the function $\|\sum_{p=1}^N \lambda_p X(n_p)\|_\alpha$ defined by $\{c(\lambda, \mathbf{n})\}^{1/\alpha}$, for $1 < \alpha < 2$, and $\{E|\sum_{p=1}^N \lambda_p X(n_p)|^2\}^{1/2}$, for $\alpha = 2$, is a norm equivalent to convergence in probability and equal up to a constant to $L^p(\Omega)$ norm for $1 < p < \alpha$. For $S\alpha S$ sequences with $\alpha < 2$ stationarity is equivalent to the condition that for any $N \in \mathcal{N}$, $t, n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N \in \mathcal{C}$, $\|\sum_{k=1}^N X(n_k)\lambda_k\|_\alpha = \|\sum_{k=1}^N X(n_k + 1)\lambda_k\|_\alpha$. In other words an $S\alpha S$ sequence ($\alpha < 2$) is stationary if there is an isometry $U : \overline{\mathcal{M}(X)} = M(X) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} M(X)$ such that $U^n X(m) = X(m+n)$, $m, n \in \mathcal{Z}$. If $\alpha = 2$ the latter condition leads to larger than stationary class of processes called **wide sense** stationary. Following [13] an $S\alpha S$ process is called a *mixed moving average* (MMA) if it has the form

$$X(n) = \int_{X \times \mathcal{Z}} f(x, n-m) M(dx, dm)$$

where M is independently scattered $S\alpha S$ random measure on $X \times \mathcal{Z}$ with control measure $Q \times l$ (i.e. $E \exp[i \operatorname{Re}(\bar{z} M(A, B))] = \exp(-Q(A)l(B))|z|^\alpha$, $z \in \mathcal{C}$), Q is a σ -finite measure on X , l is counting measure and f is a complex measurable function in $L^\alpha(X \times \mathcal{Z}, Q \times l)$. A *moving average* (MA) $S\alpha S$ process is a process of the form

$$X(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n-m) M\{m\}$$

where M is independently scattered $S\alpha S$ random measure on \mathcal{Z} with control measure l and $f \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}, l)$. MA processes form a subclass (proper if $\alpha < 2$) of MMA processes. Clearly any MMA process is stationary for $\alpha < 2$ (and wide sense stationary if $\alpha = 2$) since

$$E \exp[i \operatorname{Re}(\bar{z} X(\lambda, \mathbf{n}))] = \exp \left\{ -|z|^\alpha \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_X \left| \sum_{p=1}^N \lambda_p f(x, n_i - m) \right|^\alpha Q(dx) \right\},$$

$N \in \mathcal{N}$, $n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N, z \in \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 4 *Let $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ be an MMA sequence, $1 < \alpha < 2$. Then there is a continuous in probability $S\alpha S$ stable process $x(t)$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that for every $n \in \mathcal{Z}$,*

$$X(n) = \int_{[0, 2\pi]}^{\oplus} \exp(-int) dx(t)$$

One can take $x(t) = \frac{itX(0)+s(t)-s(0)}{2\pi i}$, $0 \leq t < 2\pi$ and $x(2\pi) = X(0)$, where $s(t) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{X(n)}{n} e^{int} \right\}$.

Proof: The proof is a slight modification of the argument from [3], p.456. Denote $\mathcal{X} = L^\alpha(X, Q)$. Let for $f \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}, l; \mathcal{X})$, $H_N(f)(\cdot) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{f(\cdot - n)}{\pi n}$ and $H(f)(\cdot) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} H_N(f)(\cdot)$ in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}, l; \mathcal{X})$, if exists. Since \mathcal{X} is an UMD space ([2]) H is a bounded operator from $L^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}, l; \mathcal{X})$ to $L^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}, l; \mathcal{X})$ and $\sup_N \|H_N\| = K < \infty$ (see [2], 2.8 and 2.18). $H(f)$ will be referred to as a *Hilbert transform* of f .

If $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ is an MMA sequence, $1 < \alpha < 2$, then by definition the mapping $\Phi : X(n) \rightarrow f_n \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}, l; L^\alpha(X, Q))$, where $\{f_n(k)\}(x) = f(x, n - k) = \{f_0(k - n)\}(x)$ is an isometry from $M(X)$ into $L^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}, l; L^\alpha(X, Q))$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{is} H_N(e^{-is} f_0)(\cdot) &= e^{is} \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{f_0(\cdot - n) \exp(-is(\cdot - n))}{\pi n} \\ &= \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{f_0(\cdot - n) e^{isn}}{\pi n} = \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{f_n(\cdot) e^{isn}}{\pi n}, \end{aligned}$$

and because \mathcal{X} is an UMD space the latter converges in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}, l; \mathcal{X})$ to $R(s) = e^{is} H(e^{-is} f_0)(\cdot)$ for every $s \in [0, 2\pi]$. Applying the mapping Φ^{-1} and using the above mentioned properties of the Hilbert transform we see that:

- (i) $S_N(X; t) = \pi \Phi^{-1}(e^{it} H_N(e^{-it} f_0)(\cdot)) \xrightarrow{N} \pi \Phi^{-1}(e^{it} H(e^{-it} f_0)) \stackrel{df}{=} s(t)$,
- (ii) $\sup \|S_N(X; t)\| = \pi \sup \|e^{it} H_N(e^{-it} f_0)(\cdot)\| \leq \pi K \|e^{-it} f_0\| = \pi K \|f_0\| < \infty$,
- (iii) $s(t) = \pi \Phi^{-1}(e^{it} H(e^{-it} f_0))$ is continuous function of t , because for any fixed $g \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}, l; \mathcal{X})$ the function $[0, 2\pi] \ni t \rightarrow e^{it} g(\cdot) \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}, l; \mathcal{X})$ is continuous from $[0, 2\pi]$ to $L^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}, l; \mathcal{X})$; also clearly $s(0) = s(2\pi)$.

Therefore from Theorem 3 it follows that $X(n)$ has the desired representation and, by (ii), $x(\cdot)$ is continuous. Note that, by definition of $x(t)$ and $s(t)$, the random variable $x(t)$ is a limit of linear combinations of $X(n)$'s, hence $\{x(t) : 0 \leq t \leq 2\pi\}$ is an $S\alpha S$ process. \square

Remark. It was proved in [11] that if X is MA then x must not be a measure. For continuous parameter MA processes a representation similar to the above was obtained in [5] under additional assumption that the function f belongs also to $L^1(\mathcal{R})$. In fact, the spectral representation for groups generated by measure-preserving transformations obtained by Fife [9] and then generalized in the series of papers by Berkson, Gillespie *et.al.* [1], [2], [3] to the case of power bounded groups of operators on UMD spaces, yields the existence of a cadlag type spectral function $x(t)$ for an arbitrary stationary process (discrete or continuous time) in $L^p(\Omega, P)$, $1 < p < \infty$. We state here only a version for $S\alpha S$ stationary sequences and prove it using arguments in [4]

Theorem 5 ([9], Theorem 1, [2], Theorems 3.1 and 5.16). *Let $X = \{X(n) : n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ be an $S\alpha S$ stationary sequence, $1 < \alpha < 2$. Then:*

(i) *For each $t \in [0, 2\pi)$, $\lim \left(\sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{X(n)}{n} \exp(int) \right) = s(t)$ exists in $M(X)$*

(ii) *For each $t \in [0, 2\pi)$, $\lim \left(N^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} X(n) \exp(int) \right) = p(t)$ exists in $M(X)$.*

(iii) *The process*

$$x(t) \stackrel{df}{=} \frac{itX(0) + s(t) - s(0)}{2\pi i} + \frac{p(t) - p(0)}{2}, \quad 0 < t < 2\pi,$$

and $x(2\pi) = X(0)$, is a bounded, cadlag $S\alpha S$ process on $[0, 2\pi)$.

(iv) *For every $n \in \mathcal{Z}$, $X(n) = \int_{[0, 2\pi]}^{\oplus} e^{-int} dx(t)$.*

Proof: The proof is a reconstruction of an argument used in [9]. Consider the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_X)$, where $\Omega = \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{Z}}$, \mathcal{F} is the cylindrical σ -algebra and P_X is the distribution of the sequence X . Then $\{X(n), n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$, and the sequence of random variables on Ω defined by $\tilde{X}(n)(\omega) = \omega(n)$ have the same finite dimensional distributions and from now on they will be identified. Let for a measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $T^n f = f \circ \phi^n$, $n \in \mathcal{Z}$, where $\phi(\omega)(\cdot) = \omega(\cdot + 1)$, $\omega \in \Omega$. Then ϕ is a measure preserving point transformation and T^n is a group of isometries on $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_X)$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $1 < p < \alpha$. For every $0 \leq t < 2\pi$ consider two sequences of operators

$$H_N(t) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{T^n f}{n} e^{int} \quad \text{and}$$

$$P_N(t) = N^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} T^n f e^{int},$$

$N = 1, 2, \dots$. From [4], it follows that for every $0 \leq t < 2\pi$ the strong limits $H(t) = \lim_N H_N(t)$ and $P(t) = \lim_N P_N(t)$ exists a.e. and in $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_X)$ and both $\sup_t \|H(t)\|_p$ and $\sup_t \|P(t)\|_p$ are finite. The symbol $\|S\|_p$ stands here for the norm of a linear operator $S : L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_X) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_X)$. On the other hand the group T^n restricted to $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_X)$ is unitary, and denoting by $E(dt)$ its spectral measure, the argument used in Example 1 shows that for $f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_X)$

$H(t)f$ has the property (F) as a function into $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_X)$,

$$[H(t+) - H(t-)]f = 2\pi i E\{t\}f = 2\pi i P(t), \quad t \in [0, 2\pi).$$

Since $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_X)$ is dense in $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_X)$ and the functions $\|H(t)\|_p$ and $\|P(t)\|_p$ are bounded, $H(t)f = \lim H_N(t)f$ has (F) property as a function

from $[0, 2\pi)$ to L^p and $[H(t+) - H(t-)]f = 2\pi i P(t)f$, $t \in [0, 2\pi)$, for every $f \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_X)$. Therefore, by Theorem 3,

$$T^n f = \int_{[0, 2\pi]}^{\oplus} e^{-int} dE(t)f, \quad n \in \mathcal{Z}, f \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_X),$$

where $E(t)f = (2\pi i)^{-1}(itf + H(t)f - H(0)f) + 2^{-1}(P(t) - P(0))$, $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $E(2\pi) = I$. Note that $E(0) = 0$ and, from uniform boundedness of $H(\cdot)$ and $P(\cdot)$, $\sup_t \|E(t)\|_p < \infty$ (in fact, the argument used in Example 1 shows that $E(t)$ is a continuous extension of $E(0, t]$ to $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_X)$). If we now take $f(\omega) = \omega(0)$, $\omega \in \Omega$, then $T^n f = X(n)$ and the theorem is proved. The process $x(t) = E(t)f$ is evidently $S\alpha S$ because its linear combinations are limits of linear combinations of $X(n)$'s. \square

References

- [1] Berkson, E., Gillespie, T.A., Fourier series criteria for operator decomposability. *Int. Eq. Oper. Theory* 9 (1986), 767-789.
- [2] Berkson, E., Gillespie, T.A., Muhly, P.S., Abstract Spectral Decomposition Guaranteed by the Hilbert Transform, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 53 (3) (1986), 489-517.
- [3] Benzinger, H., Berkson, E., Gillespie, T.A., Spectral families of projections, semigroups and differential operators, *TAMS* 275 (1983), 431-475.
- [4] Calderon, A.P., Ergodic theory and translation invariant operators. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 59 (1968), 349-353.
- [5] Cambanis, S., Houdre, C., Stable Processes; Moving Averages versus Fourier Transforms, *Prob. Th. Rel. Fields*, 95 (1993), 75-85.
- [6] Cheng, Y-Z., Lau K-S., Wiener transformations of functionals with bounded averages, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 108 (1990), 411-421.
- [7] Dowson, H.R., *Spectral theory of Linear Operators*, Academic Press, 1978.
- [8] Edwards, R.E., Gaudry, G.I., *Littlewood-Paley and Multiplier Theory*, Springer-verlag, New York (1977).
- [9] Fife, D., Spectral decomposition of ergodic flows on L^p . *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 76 (1970), 138-141.
- [10] Ionescu-Tulcea, A. and Ionescu-Tulcea, C., *Topics in the theory of lifting*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1969).

- [11] Makagon, A., Mandrekar, V., The spectral representation of $S\alpha S$ processes; Harmonizability and regularity. Prob. Th. and Related Topics, 85 (1990), 1-11.
- [12] Massani, P., Commentary on the Memoire [30a] on Generalized Harmonic Analysis, Wiener, Collected Works II, (Ed. P. Masani), MIT Press (1979).
- [13] Surgalis, D., Rosinski, J., Mandrekar, V., Cambanis, S., Stable generalized moving averages, Prob. Th. Rel. Fields, 97 (1993), 543-558.
- [14] Wiener, N., Generalized harmonic analysis, Acta Math. (1930), 117-258.
- [15] Zygmund, A. Trigonometric Series. v. I and II. University Press, Cambridge (1959).

This electronic publication and its contents are ©copyright 1994 by Ulam Quarterly. Permission is hereby granted to give away the journal and its contents, but no one may “own” it. Any and all financial interest is hereby assigned to the acknowledged authors of the individual texts. This notification must accompany all distribution of Ulam Quarterly.